

Family Name	Charnock
Given Name	Jacqueline
Person ID	1287393
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Charnock
Given Name	Jacqueline
Person ID	1287393
Title	Our Vision
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	No idea to what some of these things refer e.g. legally compliant. I am educated to masters level and if I'm not sure others may also struggle.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Enforce Tameside Council to adhere to the previous plans for Ashton Moss to have a golf course (personally could not care less about a golf course) or at least leave the land as green belt for people to walk, breathe fresh air and improve their mental health. There is not that much green space in Audenshaw but there is significant amount of housing in and around Audenshaw (Tameside).
Family Name	Charnock
Given Name	Jacqueline
Person ID	1287393
Title	Our Strategic Objectives
Type	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<p>Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Meet our housing need 2. Create neighbourhoods of choice 3. Ensure a thriving and productive economy in the districts involved 6. Promote the sustainable movement of people, goods and information 7. Ensure that districts involved are more resilient and carbon neutral 8. Improve the quality of our natural environment and access to green spaces 9. Ensure access to physical and social infrastructure 10. Promote the health and wellbeing of communities
<p>Soundness - Positively prepared?</p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p>Soundness - Justified?</p>	<p>NA</p>
<p>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</p>	<p>NA</p>
<p>Soundness - Effective?</p>	<p>NA</p>
<p>Compliance - Legally compliant?</p>	<p>No</p>
<p>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</p>	<p>No</p>
<p>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</p>	<p>See previous comments re legally sound.</p>
<p>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</p>	<p>See previous comments re Tameside.</p> <p>Strategic objective 7, 8, 9 and 10 - Building on brownfield sites doesn't seem to be a priority in relation to Tameside, particularly when you also take into consideration the planning permissions the local Authority already approves throughout the borough, these are often in green areas. When they are on brownfield sites they create strains on the infrastructure e.g. roads, public transport, schools, health (Gp surgeries). Building on a green belt area such as Ashton Moss does not maximise the health benefits of access to the natural environment and green spaces. Building on green belt land does not promote or help to achieve carbon neutrality. You would be better taking over empty properties and old buildings and retrofitting those and giving significant grants to homeowners (not benefits based as not all homeowners are cash rich) to retrofit current housing stock. The proposal does not enhance special landscapes, green infrastructure, biodiversity and geo diversity in Tameside. The number of 'affordable ' homes will only be a small small proportion of those built (GM wide).</p> <p>Strategic objective 3 - from other objectives the high value clusters seem to be Manchester centric or south GM centric. This links with strategic objective 4 i.e. Manchester, Quays and the airport.</p> <p>Strategic objective 5 - Very woolly objective in relation to North Greater Manchester.</p> <p>Strategic objective 7 - is your expectation that people live and work in the same community so reducing the need for travelling across the GM region. Are car drivers to be demonised for daring to travel for work or leisure</p>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

	because even improved transport networks won't reach everywhere? Are women to be forced to use public transport when they don't feel safe being out on their own?
Family Name	Charnock
Given Name	Jacqueline
Person ID	1287393
Title	Our Spatial Strategy
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	I only heard about plans via a local community app. "Consultation " is just a buzz word and you will go ahead with your plans to ruin Tameside, along with it's Council and Council planners whatever residents say.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Stop proposals to build on every bit of green land in Tameside and Greater Manchester. Use the existing brownfield land and vacant or abandoned buildings.
Family Name	Charnock
Given Name	Jacqueline
Person ID	1287393
Title	JP-Strat 1 Core Growth Area
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Concentrated in a very limited area of GM. Development won't affect my area but North and east GM are ignored. You are creating a divide within GM to replicate N/S divide in England.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Consider developing brownfield sites across GM to facilitate growth areas across GM so all boroughs benefit.
Family Name	Charnock
Given Name	Jacqueline
Person ID	1287393
Title	JP-Strat 2 City Centre
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Again Manchester centric, replicating London centricity in the south of England.

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	See previous comments.
Family Name	Charnock
Given Name	Jacqueline
Person ID	1287393
Title	JP-Strat 3 The Quays
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	See previous comments re concentration in only specific areas.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	See previous comments.
Family Name	Charnock
Given Name	Jacqueline
Person ID	1287393
Title	JP-Strat 4 Port Salford

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Sound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	No issues.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	No issues.
Family Name	Charnock
Given Name	Jacqueline
Person ID	1287393
Title	JP-Strat 5 Inner Areas
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the	Very little provision for "affordable " housing.

consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	See above.
Family Name	Charnock
Given Name	Jacqueline
Person ID	1287393
Title	Other Comments
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Charnock
Given Name	Jacqueline
Person ID	1287393
Title	JP-Strat 7 North East Growth Corridor
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	No comments except no consideration of Tameside.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	See previous.
Family Name	Charnock
Given Name	Jacqueline
Person ID	1287393
Title	JP-Strat 8 Wigan Bolton Growth Corridor
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	No comments.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance	See previous.

or soundness matters you have identified above.	
Family Name	Charnock
Given Name	Jacqueline
Person ID	1287393
Title	JP-Strat 9 Southern Areas
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Woolly and vague. Again , no mention of Tameside. Looks as though Tameside will again be Mr Burnham"s dumping ground (a bed every night scheme with people with drug, alcohol and mental health issues put right in the middle of a small residential area without any consideration of the impact that would have I.e. used needles everywhere, police and ambulance most nights, residents finding people standing in their gardens staring at them). Tameside Council will let the Mayor dump the least enhancing of his projects in Tameside and damage the area. I can see nothing in these plans to benefit my borough.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	See above.
Family Name	Charnock
Given Name	Jacqueline
Person ID	1287393
Title	JP-Strat 10 Manchester Airport
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	No comments other than again this is related to the south, it might have wider impact but it is focused on the south and city centre.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	See above.
Family Name	Charnock
Given Name	Jacqueline
Person ID	1287393
Title	JP-Strat 11 New Carrington
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Just transport.

Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	See above.
Family Name	Charnock
Given Name	Jacqueline
Person ID	1287393
Title	JP-Strat 12 Main Town Centres
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	The only
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	The only actual proposal is for Salford. Again vague and woolly comments for other town centres. By increasing town centre populations there is a knock on effect on the surrounding towns i.e.increased traffic, increased demand on health services, why create new green spaces at the expense of building on exiting such as Ashton Moss. I note there is no sketch of plans for Ashton under Lyne. You can't improve or support by the necessary infrastructure to Ashton as there are already good road, tram, bus and train links. The is no space to create more! Increasing the population of Ashton will merely impinge on the areas around the town centre resulting in those areas losing their distinctive character e.g Audenshaw, Droylesden, Mossley.
Family Name	Charnock
Given Name	Jacqueline
Person ID	1287393
Title	JP-Strat 13 Strategic Green Infrastructure

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Protecting and enhancing the green infrastructure network is central to the overall vision of the city-region, helping to make a major contribution to its character. No plan for this at Ashton Moss an area Tameside Council has already over developed.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	See above, leave Ashton Moss alone.
Family Name	Charnock
Given Name	Jacqueline
Person ID	1287393
Title	JP-Strat 14 A Sustainable and Integrated Transport Network
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the	No more comments.

consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	No more comments.
Family Name	Charnock
Given Name	Jacqueline
Person ID	1287393
Title	JP-S 1 Sustainable Development
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Can't see preference for using brownfield sites in Tameside.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	See above.

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Charnock
Given Name	Jacqueline
Person ID	1287393
Title	JP-S 2 Carbon and Energy
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	See comments in previous sections re retrofitting existing, abandoned and vacant buildings. Building on green belt land affects access to open spaces and creates a more built up environment, that does not promote carbon neutrality.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Leave Ashton Moss as it is, a space to be enjoyed and promote good mental and physical well-being.
Family Name	Charnock
Given Name	Jacqueline
Person ID	1287393
Title	JP-S 3 Heat and Energy Networks
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	No issues re energy as long as it is reliable and won't increase fuel poverty. Plans vague as to what the actual schemes are and where located.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	See above
Family Name	Charnock
Given Name	Jacqueline
Person ID	1287393
Title	JP-S 4 Resilience
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	All vague
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the	See above.

plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	
Family Name	Charnock
Given Name	Jacqueline
Person ID	1287393
Title	JP-S 5 Flood Risk and Water Environment
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Sound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Family Name	Charnock
Given Name	Jacqueline
Person ID	1287393
Title	JP-S 6 Clean Air
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	You can't concentrate development just in areas of sustainable travel, that option isn't open to everyone and will put a strain on existing resources.
Redacted modification - Please set out the	See above

modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	
Family Name	Charnock
Given Name	Jacqueline
Person ID	1287393
Title	JP-S 7 Resource Efficiency
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Sound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Family Name	Charnock
Given Name	Jacqueline
Person ID	1287393
Title	JP-J 1 Supporting Long Term Economic Growth
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to	No mention of increasing competitiveness of Eastern GM,

co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	See above.
Family Name	Charnock
Given Name	Jacqueline
Person ID	1287393
Title	JP-J 2 Employment Sites and Premises
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Reduce release of green belt land, cannot see any clear strategy for this.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	See previous
Family Name	Charnock
Given Name	Jacqueline
Person ID	1287393

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Title	JP-J 3 Office Development
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Again, all central Mcr, Salford and Trafford.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	See comments.
Family Name	Charnock
Given Name	Jacqueline
Person ID	1287393
Title	JP-J 4 Industry and Warehousing Development
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	This is probably the area set aside for Tameside even though it only mentions northern GM Manchester i.e. industry and warehousing. Lower skilled and lower paid, oh and heavy goods vehicles parking, which Tameside Council has already facilitated in a residential area so causing extremely large vehicles to drive down small residential roads causing noise, dirt and disturbances. Can see how Tameside will fit in now, make the area even worse than the Council are doing on their own.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Why concentrate warehousing and industry in northern and eastern GM, lower skills and lower pay, no levelling up with skills and pay in central, south and west GM.
Family Name	Charnock
Given Name	Jacqueline
Person ID	1287393
Title	JP-G 1 Valuing Important Landscapes
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	All you are doing in Tameside is removing important landscapes not valuing them.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance	Leave Aston Moss alone, develop brownfield sites.

or soundness matters you have identified above.	
Family Name	Charnock
Given Name	Jacqueline
Person ID	1287393
Title	JP-G 2 Green Infrastructure Network
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	It looks as though you are trying to concentrate green spaces in certain areas that people will need to travel to. People need green spaces close by to promote wellbeing.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	See above.
Family Name	Charnock
Given Name	Jacqueline
Person ID	1287393
Title	JP-G 3 River Valleys and Waterways
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Again plans for Ashton Moss don't tally with this supposed aim.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Leave Ashton Moss alone.
Family Name	Charnock
Given Name	Jacqueline
Person ID	1287393
Title	JP-G 4 Lowland Wetlands and Mosslands
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Again plans for Ashton Moss don't tally with this supposed aim.

Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Leave Ashton Moss alone.
Family Name	Charnock
Given Name	Jacqueline
Person ID	1287393
Title	JP-G 5 Uplands
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	This is probably where those with money live so of course there will be very little if any development there.
Family Name	Charnock
Given Name	Jacqueline
Person ID	1287393
Title	JP-G 6 Urban Green Space
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Again plans for Ashton Moss don't tally with this supposed aim.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Leave Ashton Moss alone.
Family Name	Charnock
Given Name	Jacqueline
Person ID	1287393
Title	JP-G 7 Trees and Woodland
Type	Web
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Again plans for Ashton Moss don't tally with this supposed aim. Peat traps more co2 than trees.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Leave Ashton Moss alone.
Family Name	Charnock
Given Name	Jacqueline
Person ID	1287393
Title	JP-G 8 Standards for Greener Places
Type	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Again plans for Ashton Moss don't tally with this supposed aim.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Leave Ashton Moss alone.
Family Name	Charnock
Given Name	Jacqueline
Person ID	1287393
Title	JP-G 9 A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not	Again plans for Ashton Moss don't tally with this supposed aim. Developing an open space that has peat is not in line with this aim.

to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Leave Ashton Moss alone.
Family Name	Charnock
Given Name	Jacqueline
Person ID	1287393
Title	JP-G 10 Green Belt
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Again plans for Ashton Moss don't tally with this supposed aim. You and Tameside Council are merging Ashton under Lyne and Audenshaw into one sprawling town causing loss of identity.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Leave Ashton Moss alone.
Family Name	Charnock

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Given Name	Jacqueline
Person ID	1287393
Title	JP-G 11 Safeguarded Land
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Again plans for Ashton Moss don't tally with this supposed aim. You are taking away our green belt land for dirty industries, that is all that will end up on Ashton Moss because the mayor and Tameside Council don't care about our communities.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Leave Ashton Moss alone.
Family Name	Charnock
Given Name	Jacqueline
Person ID	1287393
Title	JPA 30: Ashton Moss West
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No

Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>No engagement with the local community has been undertaken. Based on Tameside 's previous strategy, the "employment" will be low skill industrial. Innovative and creative architecture? Hardly so, large industrial type buildings blotting an open space is what we get approved in this area.</p> <p>Ensure that architecture is innovative and creative, while respecting and integrating into the surrounding landscape, creating a high quality and contemporary employment location - laughable comment based on what has already been approved.</p> <p>Ensure proposals create a safe, walkable and green development - the plan removes these facilities from the current local community.</p> <p>Ensure active travel is promoted to be the most attractive form of local transport through a clear network and hierarchy of legible and attractive streets, footpaths and cycle ways - nonsensical drivel, meaningless buzz words.</p> <p>Protect and enhance key landscape and ecological features, including trees, watercourses and ponds - the plans build on green belt land and open spaces, you are NOT protecting and enhancing those features, you are removing them.</p> <p>Deliver a high quality public realm that incorporates street tree planting - meaningless drivel, wow, you"ll plant a few trees!!!</p> <p>Be designed to enhance connectivity to existing communities in the locality alongside key assets such as: schools, cycle and walking routes, public transport services and Daisy Nook Country Park - plans will increase demand on schools etc..</p> <p>Set aside land for a range of public open spaces, such as: natural space and amenity space, in accordance with the Council"s most up to date Open Space Review - we already have an open space and natural space which these plans reduce not increase or enhance.</p> <p>Historic environment assessment identifies areas of peat. Peat captures more CO2 than trees it is therefore short sighted to damage an area which has such a valuable asset. Historically, people did not recognise the value of such areas but we do now. Further damage to that already inflicted by Tameside Council poor planning decisions e.g. allowing dumping of spoil is indefensible. Existing ponds, whether recent developments or long standing should not be removed or "compensated for loss". Previous surveys have identified swamps, estuaries and deltas, these support wildlife and biodiversity which will be lost/affected by development. The existing peat resources have been truncated and diminished by development and exploitation and more so by poor planning choices by Tameside Council. Plans will have further impact. Wetlands such as the Moss have been identified as research priorities.</p> <p>In 1994 Tameside Council approved excavation of peat, short sighted and ill informed decision.</p> <p>In 2009 Tameside approved a golf driving range, this wasn"t really necessary as there are others in the borough. However, it would have been less of an eyesore than industrial buildings but of course it never went ahead.</p> <p>Other documents:- page 6 refers to Ashton Moss employment area. What employment area, a hotel, a hotel with leisure centre, a cinema, some restaurants/popular eateries and a garden centre. Hardly an employment area. Where is the highly skilled employment such as technology, research and development. This site is targeted for industry which will be dirty because that is what Tameside Council will accept. Those will be located next to a peat moss.</p>

You refer to a "quality environment", how is it a quality environment when you are taking away green belt land and open space to replace it with dirty industrial use buildings. The space is currently used by residents in Ashton, Audenshaw and Droylsden, you are building right up to the snipe estate in Audenshaw so there will be no demarcation between Ashton and Audenshaw, pure urban sprawl.

89% of the site is considered greenfield but you consider 71.8% as "developtable". Total lack of environmental insight.

3.4 Traffic has already significantly increased since the M60 was built. Local roads have to suffer HGVs travelling past, causing heavy traffic, vibrations, air and noise pollution. These plans will increase those problems.

7.2 previous objections included the area prevents urban sprawl, loss of habitat and biodiversity, loss of local recreational facility. These objections remain. Your report refers to Droylsden and Ashton but the urban sprawl is towards Audenshaw. You are building right up to the boundary of the Snipe housing estate in Audenshaw. It is already difficult to tell where Ashton ends and Audenshaw begins in some parts and this is affecting the character of Audenshaw.

7.3. The Natural England comments still stand.

7.5 Congestion concerns remain. Comments from Highways England are still relevant in relation to congestion.

7.6. Air quality concerns remain. CPRE comments still stand.

7.8 "sustainable drainage" could result in further harm to remaining peat areas.

14.1 loss of 58.23 hectares of green belt is not acceptable.

14.2 and 14.3 where weak this is due to past poor planning decisions by Tameside Council. This plan weakens things further by promoting more urban sprawl and encroachment, encouraging further loss of character between towns in Tameside.

14.8 these proposals are not "exceptional reasons" to develop green belt land.

I do not have the time to read through other parts of the document but, using past poor planning by Tameside Council to as an excuse to destroy more green belt land is just not acceptable.

<p>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</p>	<p>See injections outlined above.above,</p>
<p>Family Name</p>	<p>Charnock</p>
<p>Given Name</p>	<p>Jacqueline</p>
<p>Person ID</p>	<p>1287393</p>
<p>Title</p>	<p>JP-D1 Infrastructure Implementation</p>
<p>Type</p>	<p>Web</p>
<p>Soundness - Positively prepared?</p>	<p>Unsound</p>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Difficult to have a coordinated approach with the boroughs, infrastructure providers, national government, regulators and others involved in infrastructure planning and funding to ensure the infrastructure needed to support the vision and objectives of the plan is developed and implemented effectively, when Stockport isn't involved.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	See above comment.
Family Name	Charnock
Given Name	Jacqueline
Person ID	1287393
Title	Supporting Evidence
Type	Web
Redacted comment on supporting documents - Please give details of why you consider any of the evidence not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	No clear consultation, only heard about this recently via a neighbourhood app. This is ridiculously long.